	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/781,682	KOVAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanza L McClendon	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>11/29/2004</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-13,15-42 and 44-74.			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>12 February 2001</u> are accepted by the	ne Examiner.	•	
 4.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declarate of the submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	national stage applicate complying with the records as AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient.	, juirements
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the drawi	ngs in the front (not the	back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	nust be submitted. N	lote the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal F 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. Examiner's Amendr 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te ment/Comment	·

Application/Control Number: 09/781,682

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last

Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

1. In response to the Amendment received on November 29, 2004, the examiner has carefully considered the amendments. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph for claim 1-13, 15-42, 44-57, and 59-74 have been overcome by the amendment and has hereby been withdrawn for consideration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed November 29, 2004 have been fully considered and found persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-7, 20-21, 24-36, 41-42, 45-46, 54, 56-58, and 67-73 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Kovar et al (5,977,269) has been withdrawn. The rejection of claims 1-7, 20-21, 24-25, 27-33, 35-36, 46, 54, 56-58, 68-69 and 71 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovar et al (5,977,269) in view of Schmiddle et al (4,182,848) has been withdrawn. After reconsideration, the examiner agrees with applicant's arguments that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have found it obvious from the teachings in Kovar et al to polymerize the polyester/vinyl dioxolane containing coating composition in the presence of a photoinitiator and irradiating with an ionizing radiation source. It is clear from Kovar that said coating compositions are to be thermally cured. In addition, Schmiddle et al fails to overcome the deficiencies of Kovar et al. Schmiddle et al fails to reasonable suggest to addition of a photoinitiator in a vinyl dioxolane end-capped oligomer. The combination of Kovar et al and Schmiddle et al does not provide the necessary guidance for a skilled artisan to polymerize said coating composition, in the presence of a photoinitiator, using ionizing radiation. Therefore, the instant application is distinguished over the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-13, 15-42, and 44-74 are allowed.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Sanza L McClendon

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc

December 7, 2004

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examina
Technology Center 1700